

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/005,193	CHEN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Fred I. Ehichioya	2162

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Fred I. Ehichioya.

(3) _____.

(2) Philip Lyren (Reg. No. 40,709).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 13 April 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1 and 42

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner suggested to applicant's representative Mr. Lyren to amend claims 1 and 42 by mirroring or reflecting these claims as claim 18 for a possible allowance. Mr. Lyren accepted Examiner's proposition and amended claims 1 and 42.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

SHAHID ALAM
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)